PIRCHEI SHOSHANIM -----GEMARA SETUP-----GEMARA

The purpose of this project is to help people focus on the GEMARA and not get needlessly lost in "a sea of words". It is designed to provide people with all backgrounds with tools which will enable them to substitute clarity for confusion, and to see that the Gemara consists of orderly and systematic material. Every line, i.e., every sentence of the Gemara falls into one of four categories. The Talmid must ask "what category the line he is reading belongs to". If he is in the middle of a subject, it is generally advisable to recall what the preceding sentence was talking about. When a Mishna or Braisa is brought, the Talmid must ask himself which of the four methods is being served by it.

A pneumonic for the four categories is מקתר. Whenever you see a quote, i.e. a Mishna etc, you must ask how is it being used? This is in the form of a תרץ, ראיה, סים מיםרא or a מיםרא.

מקתר - PNEUMONIC

- 1. מימרא (Saying) There are two parts,ask which is the מציאות (facts) and which is the דין (law) or both. Once you see what the מציאות (facts) are ask immediately what's the problem. Once you've discovered the problem look for the din (דין). For ex. // חייב or כשר // חייב
- 2. קשה is challenging something in a MISHNA,BRAISA or DIN. In almost every Gemara look for the one who asks the question, what we call the מַקשׁן. This מַקשׁן wants the דין to be contrary to either the Mishna, Braisa, Din etc. and he brings another Mishna, etc. or reason to prove his point. PAY close attention to this, it is the pivotal point in determining whether you will understand the Gemora or not.

Now look for this מקשן to ask the following "how could this be" or "why bother saying it"? This is your key to unlock the door!

STRUCTURE OF EVERY プロラ

- 1. What is the コップ asking on, i.e. MISHNA, BRAISA, DIN, ETC.
- 2. What's the コッフ itself?
- 3. How should the Din be according to the one who asked the コット?

לה There are two sides and each is looking to determine what the din is. i.e. מאל.
This is usually in the form of a new case that wasn't mentioned in a Mishna, Braisa, etc.

- תרץ. (answer)- After a קשה you should expect either a תרץ תורץ. From there you will look for a new way to explain the Din, Mishna, Braisa etc. The תרצן may agree to the basis (יפור) of the קשה. If he does, he may then bring an answer (יוסד). Sometimes he will not agree at all with the basis (יוסד) of the קשה. If in asking the question, one has recognizable arguments and a rational line of thought, his words should not be dismissed out of hand. The following two approaches may be used.
- A. The תרצן may point out the flaws in the theory and assumptions of the מקשן to explain the goal of the מקשן.
- B. The מקשן may present an opinion which differs from the מקשן and then stay with that opposing opinion.
- 4. קשיה (proof) In most cases the ראיה goes with a קשיה. A clarification may be brought by a proof, i.e. תא שמע or תרא. Next look for the source of the proof and is it a quote from somewhere else. Keep in mind always that the תרצן and תרצן were Amoraim

and what was written in the Gemara is probably a very small part of a very long discussion.

When you finish a sugia, give a topic i.e. what din are we discussing then give the topic, i.e. reasoning of Din. The purpose of this is to train oneself in keeping a strict accounting of where he is holding in relationship to the ultimate problem that he has been presented with and to not get sidetracked with issue's that could deter him from reaching his ultimate goal of understanding and solving the overall problem that was originally presented at the start of the GEMARA.

The Always look for the goal of the Gemara. Pay attention to this after learning a few lines, and after you finish the Sugia go over it deeply once again. In order to do this you must always maintain focus of the topic that's being discussed throughout the sugia. In Baba Mezia daf 21% the Gemara asks whether half a Kav of fruit scattered over an area of two Amos must be returned or considered to be abandoned? If you will ask the talmid what the topic of the sugia is he should answer in brief "Half a Kav of fruit scattered over an area of two Amos." If we were to give a topic according the the logic (reasoning) that the Sugia is dealing with, the topic would be what causes one to be שוליאום not pick up scattered abandoned fruit.? Is it the fact that a small amount is lacking in value, or that it's not worth the effort because it's too hard to gather together? The appropriate topic in brief would be: "What causes with in it's in the fact that a small amount?"

May the awakening and enlightenment derived therefrom be better than the finest gold!

Copyright (c) 1995 by Pirchei Shoshanim.

This above work is part of the Pirchei Shoshanim network of learning materials. Permission to redistribute electronically or in any manner may be done so on an individual basis. Any other manner of transmission must first receive the express permission of Pirchei Shoshanim. Our e-mail address is info@pirchei.co.il Or call 908-370-3344 or fax at 908-367-6608